SARP Research Topics

Master List of Research Topics of Interest to the OSMA Software Assurance Research Program (SARP)

This list is not all inclusive

- 1. Software Analysis
 - 1.1. Requirements
 - 1.2. Specifications
 - 1.3. Design
 - 1.4. Code

 - 1.5. Test1.6. Interface
 - 1.7. Architecture
- 2. Risk Assessment Development of techniques for conducting and evaluating the correctness of probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) on software
 - 2.1. Use of artifact metrics to predict fault prone implementations
 - 2.2. Effectiveness of static versus dynamic metrics in predicting fault prone artifacts
- 3. Software Assurance practices for Auto-generated code
 - 3.1. Evaluation of available artifacts from Autocode Processes
 - 3.2. Verification of the Code Generator
- 4. Software Assurance practices for COTS integration
 - 4.1. V&V of Interfaces to COTS
 - 4.2. Validation of a COTS application for an intended purpose
- 5. Use of simulators/testbeds in support of V&V
 - 6.1. Generic simulators/testbeds
 - 6.2. Reconfigurable simulators/testbeds
 - 6.3. Certification of simulators/testbeds
 - 6.4. Sensitivity analysis to determine required accuracy of the test environment
- 7. V&V of intelligent systems
 - 7.1. Autonomous systems
 - 7.2. Adaptive systems
- 8. Software assurance practices for reused/heritage software
 - 8.1. Reuse/heritage factors which impact software risk
 - Appropriate level of software assurance for reused/heritage code. (criteria examples: mission similarities, flight hardware similarities, flight software similarities, ground system similarities)
- 9. Reliability
 - 10.1. Reliability of OS
 - 10.2. Effects of changing operational profiles on software reliability (sensitivity)
- 11. Case Studies (What works and what doesn't)
 - 11.1. Characteristics of successful and unsuccessful software development projects
 - 11.2. Software development project risks resulting from incorrect cost estimating
 - 11.3. Software development project risk as a function of various management practices
 - 11.4. Reuse/product families
 - 11.5. Most costly software errors
 - 11.6. Effective methodologies (agile, OO, etc.)
- 12. Tandem experiments to improve software assurance (Excursions from current development, test, or V&V practices to determine the effectiveness of new practices.)
- 13. Transfer of best practices (Adaptation of best software development and testing practices to support some aspect of software assurance.)
- 14. IV&V
 - 14.1 Effectiveness of existing IV&V effort estimating tools (e.g. Risk Cube, CARA)
 - 14.1.1. Effectiveness of identification of error prone artifacts
 - 14.1.2. Effectiveness of analysis activities as applied to an artifact
 - 14.1.3. Effective tailoring of IV&V effort to desired risk reduction levels
 - 14.2. Practical model checking in support of IV&V
 - 14.2.1. Identification of appropriate techniques for IV&V model checking
 - 14.2.2. Identification of appropriate artifacts for IV&V model checking
 - 14.2.3. Identification of appropriate NASA projects for IV&V model checking
 - 14.3. IV&V of Software Development Processes
 - 14.4. Appropriateness of IV&V for reused/heritage software (criteria examples: mission similarities, flight hardware similarities, flight software similarities, ground system similarities.
 - 14.5. Return on investments
- 15. Benefits of software standards on the development of NASA software (e.g. FAA certification standards; e.g. RTCA DO-178B)

DRAFT

16. Assurance of field programmable gate arrays and Application-Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs)